

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/764,274		STEINBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	AARON W. CARTER		2624	

All Participants:

(1) AARON W. CARTER.

(2) Andrew V. Smith (Reg. No. 43,132).

Date of Interview: 5 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Improper dependency

Claims discussed:

Claim 80

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and the Applicant's representative discussed the dependency of claim 80, which is dependent upon claim 68 that was cancelled in the Examiner's amendment filed on 2/9/09. The Applicant agreed to another Examiner's amendment changing the dependency of claim 80 to depend on claim 79, placing the application into condition for allowance.